



Nigerian Journal of Soil Science

Journal homepage: www.soilsjournalnigeria.com



Characterization, Classification and Suitability Evaluation of Soils on Three Geological Formations in Nsukka Area of Enugu State for Pepper Production

Umeugokwe, C.P.¹, *Ajoagu, G.M.¹, and Asadu, C.L.A.¹

¹Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Nigeria, Nsukka

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received April 27, 2023

Received in revised form May 21, 2023

Accepted May 29, 2023

Available online June 14, 2023

Keywords:

Alfisols

Nsukka Yellow Pepper

Oxisols

Soil Properties

Ultisols

Corresponding Author's E-mail Address:

ginika.ajoagu@unn.edu.ng

ISSN– Online **2736-1411**

Print **2736-142X**

© Publishing Realtime. All rights reserved.

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to characterize, classify, and evaluate the soils on three geological formations in Nsukka, Eastern Nigeria for pepper production. The geological formations included Upper Coal Measure (UCM), False Bedded Sandstone (FBS), and Nkporo Shale (NS). Three pedons were excavated to represent each of these geological formations. Soil properties were determined using appropriate methods. The pedons were very deep (> 150 cm). Bulk density, porosity, and soil texture varied among the geological formations. The pH values ranged from 5.0 to 7.3, indicating moderately acidic to neutral conditions. The SOM content varied from 0.14-1.24 g/kg, indicating low levels. Similarly, sodium, potassium, acidity, and available phosphorus levels were also low, with values ranging from 0.01-0.05 cmol/kg, 0.03-0.07 cmol/kg, 0.60-2.20 cmol/kg, and 0.93-4.66 mg/kg, respectively. Exchangeable calcium and magnesium were moderate, ranging from 2.40-5.00 cmol/kg and 0.40-1.60 cmol/kg, respectively. Total nitrogen content was relatively high, ranging from 0.30 to 1.50 g/kg. Base saturation values varied, with high values of 61% for UCM, moderate values of 53% for NS, and low values of 33% for FBS. Based on the USDA classification system, the soils in the UCM, NS, and FBS were classified as Typic Hapludalfs, Typic Eutruxox, and Arenic Kanhapludults respectively. In terms of suitability for pepper production, UCM soils were determined to be moderately suitable, NS soils were marginally suitable, and FBS soils were deemed not suitable. Based on our findings, we recommend implementing appropriate soil management practices to enhance soil fertility and optimize pepper crop production in the study area.

1.0 Introduction

Soil is a natural resource that serves as a physical support for crops and buildings and as a source of water and nutrients for crops as well as a medium in which organic materials are recycled for future generations (Kefas *et al.*, 2020). Its properties are known to exhibit high heterogeneity (Young *et al.*, 2009) at different spatial scales, and can also vary substantially under different land uses (Nadrowski *et al.*, 2010). Differences in soil morphological, physical, and chemical properties are related primarily to parent materials (Alem *et al.*, 2015). Knowledge of the kinds of soils in an area is critical for decision making on crop production and other land use types (Yitbarek *et al.*, 2016).

Soil information enables accurate, and useful data prediction for specific soil functions. To achieve this, there is a need to characterize the soil in a way that is useful to predict land use potential (Aruleba and Ajayi, 2013). Characterization and classification of soils are fundamental to all soil studies and help to document soil properties which are essential for the successful transfer of research results to other locations (Dinssa and Elias, 2021). Also, soil resources must be studied in detail through the rating of land qualities for the land evaluation under consideration (Osujieke *et al.*, 2022a); such information highlights soil characteristics, and conditions that are suitable for growing specific crops (Ogunkunle, 2005).

Nigeria is one of the major pepper producing countries in

the world (FAOSTAT, 2019). Nsukka aromatic yellow pepper (*C. annuum*) is a popular crop in the eastern part of Nigeria for its fruits which are characterized by unique aroma and adaptability to the existing cropping systems (Abu and Uguru, 2006). Typically, they are grown as a crop that relies on rainfall and are green when fully mature, however, there is also a deep yellow or orange variety that has developed over time (Uguru, 2005). It is a rich source of Vitamins A and C (Wahyuni *et al.*, 2011). It has both nutritional and medicinal properties, making it a popular choice for food manufacturers to use as a seasoning in processed foods (Onwubuya *et al.*, 2009).

Nsukka agricultural zone in the northern part of Enugu State is generally considered to be the home of Nsukka Yellow Pepper hence the name was so derived (Maga *et al.*, 2012). Despite the agricultural potential of the area, there is a scarcity of soil information regarding geological formations, soil characteristics and classification, which could be valuable for pepper farmers. Previous research (Asadu *et al.*, 2017; Ugadu and Asadu, 2019) in the region has not adequately explored the suitability of soils for pepper cultivation, despite it being a popular crop in the area. Such land suitability assessments can help prevent inappropriate land use and mitigate land degradation (Osujieke *et al.*, 2022b; Magaji *et al.*, 2022). The need to generate soil information on geological formation, soil potentials

and constraints for pepper production necessitated this study. Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize, classify and evaluate qualitatively some geological formations identified in Nsukka Area of Enugu State for the production of Nsukka Yellow Pepper.

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted at Agu-Ibagwa (latitude 6° 56'53.556"N and longitude 7° 19'11.41"E), Nkpologwu (latitude 6°44'36.91"N and longitude 7°17'9.344"E), and Opi-Agu (latitude 6°41'47.728"N and longitude 7° 33'10.883"E), all in Nsukka area of Enugu State. Agu-Ibagwa, Nkpologwu and Opi-Agu soils are derived from upper coal measure, false bedded sandstones and Nkporo shale, respectively (Fig. 1). The vegetation type is of derived savannah. The land use types predominant in the area are arable crop production, grassland, grazing and oil palm plantation. The region has a humid tropical climate with two main seasons: the wet season, lasting from March to October, and the dry season, lasting from November to February. There is also a brief dry period in August known as the "August break." The average annual rainfall and humidity are 1550 mm and 60%, respectively. The average annual temperature does not exceed 35 °C (Akamigbo and Asadu, 1983).




Figure 1: Map of Nsukka Area showing the Geology of the study area

2.2 Field studies

Soil profile pits were dug following a free survey technique, guided by a geological map of Enugu State developed by Nigeria Geological Survey Agency (2006). Three representative pedons were selected to represent the Upper Coal Measure (UCM), False Bedded Sandstone (FBS), and Nkporo Shale (NS) geological formations. The description of soil horizons followed the guidelines provided by the USDA Soil Survey Staff (2014). Samples were collected from distinct horizons within each pit and appropriately labeled for subsequent laboratory analysis.

2.3 Laboratory analysis

The soil samples collected, were air-dried, gently crushed and later sieved with a 2 mm sieve. Analysis of the physicochemical properties was carried out following standard laboratory procedures. Particle size distribution of the soils was determined on the < 2 mm soil fraction using the Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Gee and Or, 2002), with 0.1N NaOH as dispersant. Total porosity was calculated from the relationship between bulk density and particle density using equation (1):

$$TP = \left(1 - \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_s}\right) \times 100; \quad (1)$$

Where, ρ_b = bulk density (g cm^{-3}) and ρ_s = particle density (2.65g cm^{-3}). Bulk density was determined using core method (Grossman and Reinch, 2002). Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) was determined using a set of undisturbed core samples collected with metallic core cylinders (Reynolds, 1993) and computed from the equation (2) below:

$$K_{\text{sat}} = \frac{QL}{At \cdot \Delta H} \quad (2)$$

Where, Ksat = Saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm h^{-1}), Q = volume of water (cm^3), L = height of water above soil column, A = cross sectional area of sample (cm^2), ΔH = hydraulic head difference (l + h), cm and t = time (min). The soil pH was determined in distilled water and in 0.1MKCl solution using soil to liquid ratio of 1:2.5. The solutions were stirred for about 30 minutes and corresponding pH values read using a glass electrode pH meter (McLean, 1982). Organic carbon was determined using the method described by Nelson and Summers (1996), while

organic matter was calculated by multiplying the value of organic carbon by 1.724 (Van Barmelen factor) which is based on the assumption that soil organic matter contains 58% carbon (Allison, 1982). The CEC were determined titrimetrically using ammonium acetate (NH_4OAc) displacement method (Rhoades, 1982). The following exchangeable basic cations: calcium (Ca^{2+}), sodium (Na^+), potassium (K^+), and magnesium (Mg^{2+}) were determined by complexometric titration method, described by Chapman (1982). K^+ and Na^+ were extracted using 1N ammonium acetate (NH_4OAc) solution and will be determined by flame photometry, and the exchangeable acidity by titration method after extraction with 1MKCl (McLean, 1982). The total nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl digestion and distillation method (Bremmer and Mulvaaney, 1982). The available phosphorus of the soil samples was determined using Bray-2 method as described by Olson and Sommers (1982). The percentage (%) base saturation was calculated thus (equation 3):

$$\% \text{ Base Saturation} = \frac{\text{Total Exchangeable Base}}{\text{Effective Cation Exchange Capacity}} \times \frac{100}{1} \quad (3)$$

2.4 Soil Classification

The soils were classified using USDA Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2014) and World Reference Base (WRB) (FAO, 2014) classification systems, with classification based on field and laboratory data, including morphological, physical, chemical properties, and general site information.

2.5 Land Suitability Evaluation

A non-parametric method was utilized to assess the land suitability evaluation for pepper cultivation. This evaluation was conducted using guidelines from Naidu *et al.* (2006) for determining land suitability. The characteristics of each pedon were compared to the land requirements for pepper production to assign a suitability class. The performance of a soil type was determined by applying Liebig's Law of minimum to the most restrictive characteristic within a group. Detailed land and soil requirements for pepper according to Naidu *et al.* (2006) are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Land requirement for suitability classes for pepper (*Capsicum annum L.*)

| Land Qualities characteristics | Suitability class | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Highly suitable S ₁ | Moderately suitable S ₂ | Marginally suitable S ₃ | Not suitable N |
| | 100-75 | 74-50 | 49-25 | 25-0 |
| Climatic Regime | | | | |
| Mean temperature in growing season ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) | 28-35 | 20-27 36-38 | 18-19 39-40 | <18 >40 |
| Total Rainfall (mm) | 2000-3000 | 1200-1500 | 800-1200 | <800 |
| Elevation (m) | 200-1200 | 1200-1500 | 1500-1800 | >1800 |
| Nutrient Availability | | | | |
| Texture (class) | SCL, L, CL, SC | CL, SL, C | C (55%) | S, LS, C (>60%) |
| Organic Carbon (%) | >2 | 1-2 | 0.5-1 | <0.5 |
| Rooting conditions | | | | |
| Effective soil depth (cm) | >100 | 100-50 | 50-25 | <25 |

Source: Naidu *et al.* (2006)

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The coefficient of variation (%CV) was calculated using Microsoft Excel (2016) software to assess the variability of soil properties. The obtained %CV values were then compared to the classification proposed by Tabi and Ogunkunle (2007). In this classification, %CV values ranging from 0 to 15% were categorized as low variability, values from 16 to 35% were considered moderate variability, and values exceeding 35% were classified as high variability.

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Morphological Characteristics of the Soils

The morphological characteristics of the soils are presented in Table 2. The pedons at UCM and FBS were characterized by dark reddish-brown (2.5YR 4/3 and 2/3 respectively) color at the surface and varying color was obtained at the subsurface horizons. The NS pedon had a brown (7.5 YR 4/4) color on the surface to red (10 R 4/6) in the subsurface. The reddish colour denotes iron oxidation and aerated soil. The variations in color are due to differences in organic matter content, parent material, and drainage conditions (Alem *et al.*, 2015). The brownish color observed on the surface horizons of the studied soil aligns with the findings reported by Oti and Mbe (2020) in Southeastern Nigeria.

In UCM, the soil structure exhibited fine, medium, and subangular characteristics in the surface horizon, while the subsurface horizon showed a moderate, medium, and subangular structure. NS displayed a moderate, coarse, and subangular structure in the Ap and A₁ horizons, transitioning to a strong, coarse granular to moderate, coarse granular structure in the Bt and C horizons. FBS demonstrated a very fine weak and crumb structure in the Ap and AB horizons, while the B₁, B₂, and BC horizons displayed fine, medium, and subangular structures. This soil structure indicates that the soil has a good water holding capacity, and appropriate nutrient content (Osujieke *et al.*, 2022a) and better aggregation and arrangement of soil particles.

The consistence was mostly friable except for the C horizon of NS, which was very firm (dry); non plastic top soils over slightly plastic for UCM, moderately plastic in AP and A₁ transitioning to slightly plastic for NS and non-plastic in all the horizons for FBS (wet). In terms of drainage, the soils were generally well-drained, except for the Bt₃ and C horizons, which were poorly drained primarily due to their high clay content. In the UCM, roots were present in the Ap and Bt₁ horizons but absent in the Bt₂, Bt₃, and C horizons. Similarly, in the FBS, roots were observed in the Ap and AB horizons, while they were absent in the B1, B2, and BC horizons. In contrast, NS showed root distribution in all horizons. The presence of the roots is an indication of biological activities in the soils.

All of the pedons were very deep (>150 cm) according to soil depth class classification by Ditzler *et al.* (2017). The amount of plant nutrients and water available to the plant roots is determined by the soil's rooting depth. The UCM and NS horizons exhibited clear distinctness and smooth topography at the surface, while the subsurface soils showed gradual distinctness and smooth topography in UCM and abrupt distinctness and smooth topography in NS. In FBS, there was a transition from gradual distinctness and smooth topography at the surface to clear dis-

tinctness and smooth topography in the subsurface. The observed variations in horizon boundaries, both on the surface and in the subsurface, indicate distinct morphological features at different depths within the studied soil. These findings align with previous research conducted by Nwaoba *et al.* (2021) and suggest that the study site is in the early stages of soil development.

3.2 Physical Characteristics of the Soils

The physical characteristics of the soils are presented in Table 3. Sand dominates the particle sizes and decreased with increase in soil depth. The soils derived from UCM had loamy sand and sandy clay loam (SCL) texture in both the surface and subsurface horizons. The transition to SCL in the subsurface horizon was due to the downward migration of clay particles, contradicting the findings by Ndukwu *et al.* (2012) who reported sand texture in both surface and subsurface horizons of UCM. The surface horizon at NS Pedon had sandy texture, while the A1 and C horizons had sandy loam (SL) texture and the Bt horizon had SCL texture. The texture of the False Bedded Sandstone (FBS) in both the surface and subsurface horizons was determined to be sand. This finding contradicts the previous research conducted by Onweremadu *et al.* (2021), which reported FBS in the humid tropical zone as having a texture of SL in all horizons. Furthermore, the consistent distribution of silt fractions (50 g kg⁻¹) within the profile in FBS Pedon could be attributed to the geological formation's homogeneous nature. The variations of textural classes in relation to geological formations could be attributed to the nature of their parent materials, similar to the findings of Ithem *et al.* (2017).

The surface bulk density (BD) values of UCM, NS, and FBS were 1.71, 1.41, and 1.50 g cm⁻³ respectively while the subsurface BD ranged from 1.67 to 1.83 g cm⁻³ for UCM, 1.58 to 1.75 g cm⁻³ for NS, and 1.42 to 1.81 g cm⁻³ and the values varied irregularly with soil depth. UCM soils showed higher bulk density values than NS and FBS soils which might be attributed to the geological formation which consist of coal, sandstone, shale and siltstone (Nigeria Geological Survey Agency, 2006). The coal itself would not permit root penetration and would have a highly solid structure. The FBS values is similar to the findings of Aririguzo *et al.* (2019) who researched on the FBS soils of Abia State, Southeastern Nigeria. The BD values were generally lower than the threshold limit (1.85 g cm⁻³) that would impede root proliferation, air and water transport.

The total porosity (TP) for all the geological formations was very high according to Jahn *et al.* (2006). This is similar with the findings of Ithem *et al.* (2017) of the soils of Southeastern Nigeria. Soils with a total porosity of greater than 40%, may offer significant high moisture retention for plants and no risk of compaction (Nwaoba *et al.*, 2021). However, when TP is less than 40%, it exhibits extra strength indicative of likely risk of compaction and inadequate aeration. The Ksat values decreased with increase in soil depth across all the geological formations and this variation might be attributed to differences in the particle size distribution.

3.3 Chemical Characteristics of the Soils

Table 4 shows the chemical characteristics of the soils of the study area. The surface soil reactions of UCM, NS, and FBS were 5.0, 7.3 and 6.0, respectively while the subsurface soil reactions ranged from 5-6.8, 5.5-6.9, and 5.8-6.3

respectively and changed erratically with depth. According to Chude *et al.* (2011), these values were strongly acidic to neutral. The acidic nature of the soils in general may be attributed to high rainfall intensity in the area which may have resulted in leaching out of soil basic cations, resulting in dominance of acidic cations (Okoli *et al.*, 2019).

The soil organic matter (SOM) content generally declined with depth and is evidence of organic material assimilation into the soils, with epipedons values of 1.20 g kg⁻¹ for UCM, 2.12 g kg⁻¹ for NS, and 1.10 g kg⁻¹ for FBS. UCM, NS, and FBS subsoil values ranged from 0.62 to 1.24 g kg⁻¹, 0.83 to 1.45 g kg⁻¹, and 0.62 to 1.10 g kg⁻¹ respectively. According to Esu (1991), the SOM contents were moderate to low which may be linked to the low organic matter decomposition rate by agricultural operations (Obi *et al.*, 2012). This supports the findings of Ndukwu *et al.* (2012) and Aririguzo *et al.* (2019) on the decrease in SOM with depth.

The total N had an irregular trend with depth in NS geological formation except for UCM and FBS geological formations that decreased down the depth. The TN values were lower than 2-10 g kg⁻¹ recommended by Enwezor *et al.* (1990) and Federal Fertilizer Department (2012) for productive soils in the tropics. The low content could be ascribed to rapid microbial activities and crop removal, leading to nitrate loss in the soil environment (Aiboni *et al.*, 2007). This result contradicts the findings of Onweremadu *et al.* (2021) of humid tropical soils.

Exchangeable Ca²⁺ was dominant in all the geological formations and the values decreased consistently with depth in UCM and NS while that of FBS increased consistently with depth. The values for exchangeable Ca²⁺ (2.40-5.00 cmol kg⁻¹) were generally moderate based on the rating of Sheru *et al.* (2015). The preponderance of calcium shows the affinity of calcium over other cations at exchange sites. Exchangeable Mg²⁺ ranked next to Ca²⁺ on the exchangeable site. The surface values of Mg were 0.80 cmol kg⁻¹ for UCM and NS and 0.40 cmol kg⁻¹ for FBS while the subsurface values ranged from 0.4 to 1.20, 0.40 to 0.60 and 0.20 to 1.20 for UCM, NS, and FBS respectively. Mg²⁺ values were generally in the medium range which might be due to inherent magnesium parent material as Abate *et al.* (2014) suggested. Reports have shown that pH H₂O values of 5 to 9 are optimum for calcium and magnesium availability (Hazelton and Murphy, 2016). This is similar to the findings of Nsor *et al.* (2016) of soils of Southeastern Nigeria. Although Ca and Mg were dominant exchangeable bases in all the geological formations, NS recorded the highest Ca²⁺ mean value of 4 cmol kg⁻¹ while the UCM recorded the highest Mg²⁺ mean value (0.92 cmol kg⁻¹). In all the geological formations surface and subsurface horizons, the exchangeable K⁺ and Na⁺ content was low and decreased with depth. However, exchangeable K⁺ and Na⁺ were higher in NS, followed by UCM and FBS similar to the findings of Ndukwu *et al.* (2012) in their studies of soils underlain by three lithologies in Southeastern Nigeria. This further confers higher fertility status on soils of NS than those of the rest two geological formations.

According to the rating of Esu (1991), exchangeable acidity was low in the study area's surface soils, while for the subsurface soils EA is low for NS and FBS and low to moderate for UCM. The low EA could reflect the low amount of exchangeable Al³⁺ and H⁺ in the soils. Nsor *et al.* (2016) and Nwaoba *et al.* (2021) found similar results and concluded that the contribution of exchange acidity to

potential acidity in soils of Southeastern Nigeria is relatively low.

The cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the surface soils of UCM was 6.00 cmol kg⁻¹ and 10.00 cmol kg⁻¹ for NS and FBS. CEC values show a variation (decrease) with depth in NS and irregularly with depth in UCM and FBS. In the subsoil horizons, CEC varies between 5.20 and 12.40, 7.20 and 9.60, and 9.00 and 12.40 cmol kg⁻¹ for UCM, NS, and FBS respectively. The subsurface horizons CEC value was higher when compared with surface values in some pedons and could be indicative of mineralogical nature and composition rather than the presence of organic carbon (Obalum *et al.*, 2012; Osujieke *et al.*, 2022a). The overall CEC of the study area was low according to the ratings of Esu (1991) in all the geological formations. This conformed with the findings of Ithem *et al.* (2017) that the soils of derived savannah have low CEC values.

The available phosphorus levels showed a consistent pattern across NS and FBS, with higher concentrations in the surface horizons compared to the deeper layers. In contrast, UCM exhibited minimal or negligible values of available phosphorus within the studied pedons. According to Esu (1991), soils with available phosphorus values below 5 mg kg⁻¹ are considered low. This low availability could be attributed to the characteristics of the parent material as well as the fixation of phosphorus by iron and aluminum oxides, particularly under well-drained acidic conditions (Orimoloye *et al.*, 2018).

The percent base saturation (PBS) varied over the soil depth for all pedons studied. UCM, NS, and FBS had surface horizons values of 71.83, 59.30 and 31.10% respectively. In the subsurface soil, PBS for UCM, NS, and FBS varied from 29.68 to 83.39%, 44.90 to 59.44%, and 26.13 to 38.85%, respectively. The presence of the basic cations in the exchange site was shown by UCM's greater PBS compared to NS and FBS. The higher values (>40%) highlight the significance of good soil management by indicating that the soils have the capacity to provide plant nutrients (Talha *et al.*, 2022). The soil's PBS value agreed with research by Ndukwu *et al.* (2012) and Osinuga *et al.* (2020) that found UCM and shale geological formations to have high PBS values.

3.4 Variability of Soils of the Geological Formations

Among the physical properties, the clay (15.80-41.44%), silt (18.07-46.35%), and sand (>35%) exhibited moderate to high variability, while bulk density (BD) and total porosity (TP) showed low variability (<10% and <15%, respectively). In contrast, hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) displayed high variability (>35%) (Table 3). Similar findings have been reported by other researchers (Tabi *et al.*, 2012; Osinuga *et al.*, 2020) in different regions of the West-African Savanna. Soil pH (H₂O and KCl) demonstrated low variability (<15%), while soil organic matter, exchangeable bases, and total exchangeable acidity exhibited moderate to high variability (>15%). Additionally, cation exchange capacity and total nitrogen displayed low to moderate variability (>10%), while available phosphorus showed high variability (>35%) (Table 4). Variations in base saturation are likely attributed to pH variations, as observed in the study by Asongwe *et al.* (2016).

3.5 Classification of Soils of the Geological Formations

The soils derived from UCM have an argillic horizon and base saturation of > 35% in all the horizon within 150 cm

hence classified as order Alfisols. An ustic moisture regime was established because the soils are dry in some or all the parts for 90 or more consecutive days in normal years, and this qualified them as Ustalfs in the suborder category. They are also characterized by a textural class of loamy sand, extending from a mineral soil surface to the top of an argillic horizon, placing them in the great group of Haplustalfs (Soil Survey Staff, 2014).

The NS soils fit the characteristics of oxisols as they have an oxic horizon within 150 cm of the mineral soil. However, they are moist in some areas for more than 180 cumulative days per year or for 90 or more consecutive days, which places them in the Ustox sub-order. They are also classified as the great group Eustrtox, due to the presence of base saturation (by NH₄OAc) of more than 35% throughout all horizons within 125 cm of the mineral surface (Soil Survey Staff, 2014).

False bedded sandstone soils were classified as order Ultisols owing to the presence of Kandic horizon and base

saturation of < 35 % in all the horizon within 150 cm of the mineral soil surface. They were further classified as sub-order Ustults, great group Kanhaplustults and sub-group Arenic Kanhaplustults. They were classified as Arenic Kanhaplustults as they possessed sandy textural class throughout the layer extending from the mineral soil surface to the top of the kandic horizon.

According to World Reference Base (WRB), the soils derived from UCM and NS were classified into the Reference group Lixisols due to presence of an argillic horizon, CEC of < 24 cmol kg⁻¹ and base saturation of > 50%. Owing to the loamic textural class at the depth of ≥ 30 % from the mineral surface, the soils was further classified as Loamic Lixisols (FAO, 2014). The soils derived from FBS were classified as Acrisols due to its presence of agric horizon; < 24 cmol kg⁻¹ and base saturation of > 50%. At the lower level, they are classified as Arenic Acrisols owing to the presence of sandy textural class at depth of ≥ 30 cm within the 100 cm of the mineral surface.

Table 1: Land requirement for suitability classes for pepper (*Capsicum annum L.*)

| Depth (cm) | HD | Colour (moist) | TC | Structure | Consistency | | Drainage | Roots | Hb | others |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|------------|-------------|-----|----------|---------|----|-------------|
| | | | | | Moist | wet | | | | |
| Upper Coal Measure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-26 | Ap | 2.5YR 4/3 | LS | f m sab | fr | np | wd | present | cs | - |
| 26-61 | Bt ₁ | 2.5YR 6/6 | SCL | mod m sab | fr | sp | wd | present | gs | - |
| 61-127 | Bt ₂ | 2.5YR 5/8 | SCL | mod m sab | fr | sp | wd | absent | gs | - |
| 127-176 | Bt ₃ | 2.5YR 5/8 | SCL | mod m sab | fr | sp | pd | absent | gw | - |
| 176-200 | C | 2.5YR 6/8 | SCL | mod m sab | fr | sp | pd | absent | - | Many Stones |
| Nkporo Shale | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-29 | Ap | 7.5 YR 4/4 | S | mod co sab | fr | msp | wd | present | cs | - |
| 29-55 | A ₁ | 2.5YR 5/4 | SL | mod co sab | fr | msp | wd | present | as | - |
| 55-121 | Bt | 5 YR 5/8 | SCL | s co g | fr | sp | wd | present | gd | - |
| 121-200 | C | 10 R 4/8 | SL | mod co g | vf | sp | wd | present | - | - |
| False Bedded Sandstone | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | Ap | 2.5YR 4/3 | S | vf w cr | fr | np | wd | present | gs | - |
| 20-62 | AB | 2.5YR 3/6 | S | vf w cr | fr | np | wd | present | cs | - |
| 62-98 | B ₁ | 10R 4/6 | S | f m mag | fr | np | wd | absent | cs | - |
| 98-161 | B ₂ | 2.5YR 4/8 | S | f m mag | fr | np | wd | absent | cs | - |
| 161-200 | BC | 10YR 4/6 | S | f m mag | fr | np | wd | absent | - | - |

HD = Horizon Designation, TC = Textural Class, LS = Loamy Sand, SCL = Sandy Clay Loam, S = Sand, SL = Sandy Loam. Structure: w - weak, mod - moderate, s - strong, f - fine, m - medium, co - coarse, cr - crumb, gr - granular, sab - sub-angular blocky, b - blocky. Consistency: ns - non-sticky, ss - slightly sticky, s - sticky, vs - very sticky, lo - loose, fm - firm, fr - friable, vf - very firm. Boundary: a - abrupt, c - clear, g - gradual, d - diffuse, s - smooth, w - wavy, i - irregular. Drainage: wd - well drained, pd - poorly drained

Table 3: Physical characteristics of the soils of the study area.

| Horizon | Depth (cm) | Clay | Silt | Sand | Textural Class | BD (g cm ⁻³) | MAP | MIP (%) | TP | Ksat (cmhr ⁻¹) |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|-------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upper Coal Measure | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ap | 0 – 26 | 110 | 70 | 820 | LS | 1.71 | 11.28 | 34.43 | 45.71 | 87.68 |
| Bt1 | 26 – 61 | 270 | 90 | 640 | SCL | 1.67 | 13.99 | 29.54 | 43.33 | 77.78 |
| Bt2 | 61 – 127 | 250 | 50 | 700 | SCL | 1.76 | 14.61 | 32.08 | 46.69 | 66.67 |
| Bt3 | 127 – 156 | 250 | 70 | 680 | SCL | 1.83 | 11.63 | 31.4 | 43.03 | 33.94 |
| C | 176 – 200 | 250 | 70 | 680 | SCL | 1.8 | 13.93 | 28.98 | 42.91 | 38.89 |
| CV (%) | | 25.89 | 18.07 | 65.49 | | 3.32 | 10.38 | 6.21 | 3.52 | 34.75 |
| Nkporo Shale | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ap | 0 – 21 | 50 | 30 | 920 | S | 1.41 | 18.01 | 33.90 | 51.91 | 16.81 |
| A ₁ | 21 – 55 | 190 | 170 | 640 | SL | 1.75 | 20.07 | 28.19 | 48.26 | 11.62 |
| Bt | 55 – 121 | 230 | 130 | 640 | SCL | 1.58 | 15.40 | 25.35 | 40.75 | 12.61 |
| C | 121 – 200 | 190 | 110 | 700 | SL | 1.70 | 16.13 | 24.22 | 40.35 | 12.61 |
| CV (%) | | 41.44 | 46.35 | 41.44 | | 8.13 | 10.40 | 13.42 | 10.90 | 14.93 |
| False Bedded Sandstone | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ap | 0 – 20 | 50 | 30 | 920 | S | 1.50 | 12.08 | 39.27 | 51.35 | 13.89 |
| AB | 20 – 62 | 50 | 30 | 920 | S | 1.42 | 11.15 | 41.09 | 52.24 | 3.27 |
| Bt | 62 – 98 | 70 | 30 | 900 | S | 1.51 | 12.35 | 44.71 | 57.06 | 1.73 |
| BC | 98 – 161 | 70 | 30 | 900 | S | 1.81 | 14.58 | 35.75 | 50.33 | 4.36 |
| 2BC | 161 – 200 | 70 | 30 | 900 | S | 1.76 | 13.12 | 37.39 | 50.51 | 8.89 |
| CV (%) | | 15.80 | 0 | 6.06 | | 9.69 | 9.09 | 7.83 | 4.73 | 68.90 |

LS = Loamy Sand, SCL = Sandy Clay Loam, S = Sand, BD = Bulk Density, MAP = Macroporosity, MIP = Microporosity, TP = Total Porosity, Ksat = Hydraulic Conductivity

Table 4: Chemical characteristics of the soils of the study area

| Horizon | Depth (cm) | pH H ₂ O | KCl | SOM | TN | Exchangeable Cations | | | | TEB | Exch. Acidity | | TEA | CE C | BS (%) | Av.P mg kg ⁻¹ |
|------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|----------------|-------|------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | Na ⁺ | K ⁺ | Ca ²⁺ | Mg ²⁺ | | Al ³⁺ | H ⁺ | | | | |
| Upper Coal Measure | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ap | 0 – 26 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 1.20 | 1.50 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 3.40 | 0.80 | 4.31 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 6.00 | 71.83 | 0.93 |
| Bt1 | 26 – 61 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 1.24 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 3.20 | 0.40 | 3.68 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 1.40 | 12.4 | 29.68 | 0.93 |
| Bt2 | 61 – 127 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 0.96 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 3.20 | 0.60 | 3.88 | 2.00 | 0.20 | 2.20 | 9.60 | 40.42 | 0.93 |
| Bt3 | 127 – 156 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 3.00 | 1.60 | 4.67 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 5.60 | 83.39 | 0.93 |
| C | 176 – 200 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 0.62 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 4.27 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 5.20 | 82.12 | 0.93 |
| | CV (%) | 13.20 | 1.64 | 26.98 | 73.13 | 26.72 | 14.81 | 4.74 | 46.83 | 8.35 | 65.24 | 57.14 | 50.44 | 36.1 | 36.13 | 0 |
| Nkporo Shale | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ap | 0 – 21 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 2.12 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 5.00 | 0.80 | 5.93 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 10.0 | 59.30 | 4.66 |
| A ₁ | 21 – 55 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 3.60 | 0.60 | 4.31 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 9.60 | 44.90 | 0.93 |
| Bt | 55 – 121 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.10 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 3.60 | 0.40 | 4.08 | 1.20 | 0.20 | 1.40 | 8.80 | 46.36 | 0.93 |
| C | 121 – 200 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 3.80 | 0.40 | 4.28 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 1.20 | 7.20 | 59.44 | 0.93 |
| | CV (%) | 11.08 | 13.77 | 35.13 | 53.75 | 22.11 | 20.78 | 14.58 | 30.15 | 16.01 | 40.82 | 36.85 | 28.17 | 12.0 | 13.12 | 66.7 |
| False Bedded Sandstone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ap | 0 – 20 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 1.10 | 0.70 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 2.60 | 0.40 | 3.11 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1.40 | 10.0 | 31.10 | 3.73 |
| AB | 20 – 62 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 1.10 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 2.60 | 0.40 | 3.08 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 10.0 | 30.80 | 2.80 |
| Bt | 62 – 98 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 2.40 | 1.00 | 3.48 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 1.20 | 9.00 | 37.83 | 0.93 |
| BC | 98 – 161 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 2.80 | 0.40 | 3.24 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 12.4 | 26.13 | 0.93 |
| 2BC | 161 – 200 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 0.62 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 3.80 | 0.20 | 4.04 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 1.20 | 10.4 | 38.85 | 2.80 |
| | CV (%) | 3.11 | 0.97 | 47.29 | 35.00 | 50.00 | 32.54 | 17.48 | 56.52 | 4.89 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 13.61 | 10.8 | 14.44 | 50.0 |

Table 4: Classification of soils of the study area

| Geological For- mation | Order | Suborder | Great group | Sub group | FAO/UNESCO WRB |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| UCM | Alfisols | Ustalfs | Haplustalfs | Typic Haplustalfs | Loamic Lixisols |
| NS | Oxisol | Ustox | Eutrustox | Typic Eutrustox | Loamic Lixisols |
| FBS | Ultisols | Ustults | Kanhaplustults | ArenicKanhaplustults | Arenic Acrisols |

UCM = Upper Coal Measure, NS = Nkporo Shale, FBS = False Bedded Sandstone

3.6 Land Evaluation

Table 5 shows the suitability ratings for the production of pepper in the Nsukka area. The results indicate that UCM soils are moderately suitable (S₂), while NS and FBS soils are marginally (S₃) and not suitable (N) respectively for pepper production. In UCM, inadequate annual rainfall poses a significant limitation for pepper cultivation, as it falls below the recommended 2000 mm. Implementing water conservation strategies like mulching and efficient irrigation management can improve suitability from moderately (S₂) to highly (S₁) suitable. Another constraint

in UCM and NS formations is low organic carbon content, which is below the critical level of 2 g kg⁻¹ (Esu, 1991), rendering the areas moderately (S₂) and marginally (S₃) suitable respectively. Adding organic matter is advised to increase organic carbon content and maintain soil health. FBS, with its sandy texture, presents a major limitation for pepper cultivation, making the entire area not suitable (N). According to Naidu *et al.* (2006), sandy clay loam, loam, clay loam, and sandy clay soils are highly recommended for optimal pepper production. Incorporating organic matter, like compost, can enhance soil structure, nutrient content, and water-holding capacity in sandy soils.

Table 5: Suitability evaluation of the soils for pepper production

| Land qualities | Unit | UCM | FBS | NS |
|------------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Climatic regime | | | | |
| Mean temperature in growing season | °C | S ₁ | S ₁ | S ₁ |
| Total Rainfall | mm | S ₂ | S ₂ | S ₂ |
| Topography | | | | |
| Elevation | m | S ₁ | S ₁ | S ₁ |
| Wetness | | | | |
| Soil drainage | class | S ₁ | S ₁ | S ₁ |
| Soil physical properties | | | | |
| Texture | class | S ₁ | N | S ₂ |
| Effective soil depth | cm | S ₁ | S ₁ | S ₁ |
| Soil chemical properties | | | | |
| OC | % | S ₂ | S ₃ | S ₃ |

UCM= Upper Coal Measure, FBS = False Bedded Sandstone and NS = Nkporo Shale

4.0 Conclusion

The soil analysis revealed slightly acidic pH for NS and FBS, and moderately acidic pH for UCM. Nutrient levels, including nitrogen, phosphorus, exchangeable bases, and acidity, were generally low. Base saturation varied, with UCM showing a range from low to high, NS being moderate, and FBS being low. Organic carbon content was low to moderate. According to soil classification systems, UCM, NS, and FBS were categorized as specific soil types. UCM soils were moderately suitable for pepper production, while sandy texture in FBS and low organic carbon in NS made them unsuitable or marginally suitable for pepper cultivation.

References

- Abate, N., Kibret, K., Gebrekidan, H., and Esayas, A. (2014). Characterization and classification of soils along the toposequence at the Wadla Delanta Massif, north central highlands of Ethiopia. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment*, 6(9), 304-320.
- Abu, N.E. and Uguru, M.I. (2006). Evaluation of genetic variations in growth and yield components of aromatic pepper lines in the derived savannah ecology of Nigeria. *AgroScience*, 5(1):1-7
- Aiboni V.U., Okeleye K.A. and Adeniji I.A. (2007). Potential of lowland rice under improved soil management in farmer's plot at Odeda, South-western Nigeria. *J. Agric. Sci. Environ.*, 7 (1), 15-22.
- Akamigbo, F.O.R. and Asadu, C.L.A. (1983). Influence of parent material on the soils of Southeastern Nigeria. *East Africa Agriculture and Forest Journal*, 48: 81-91.
- Alem, H., Kibebew, K. and Heluf, G. (2015). Characterization and classification of soils of Kabe Subwatershed in South Wollo Zone, Northeastern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Soil Science* 3 (7): 134-146
- Allison, F.E. (1982). Organic matter. In: Black C.A. (ed). *Methods of Soil Analysis part 2. American Society of Agronomy*, 9:1367-1378.
- Aririguzo, B.N., Osujieke, D.N., Ahukaemere, C.M., and Imadojemu, P.E. (2019). Profile distribution of nitrogen forms under forest and pasture ecosystem in Umuahia and Umudike in Abia state, southeastern Nigeria. *Fuw Journal of Agriculture & Life Sciences*, 3(1), 231-242.
- Aruleba, J.O. and Ajayi, A.S. (2013). Classification, Characterization, and Suitability Evaluation of the Savanna Soils of Oyo North of Nigeria. *Developments in Soil Classification, Land Use Planning and Policy Implications*, 285-303. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5332-7_14
- Asadu, C.L.A., Dixon, A.G.O., Ugadu, M., and Edeh, I. (2017). Comparison of the suitability of contiguous fallow-forest lands for cassava, yam, cocoyam and sweet potato production in Nsukka, Southeastern Nigeria. *Traektoriâ Nauki = Path of Science*, 3(1), 1.1-1.12.
- Asongwe G.A., Yerima B.P.K. and Tening A.S. (2016). Spatial variability of selected physico-chemical properties of soils under vegetable cultivation in urban and peri-urban wetland gardens of Bamenda municipality, Cameroon. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 11 (2), 74-86.
- Bremner, J.M. and Mullvaney, C.S. (1982). Total Nitrogen. In: Page, A.L. (Ed.). *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical Methods: Agronomy Monograph No.9*, American Society of Agronomy, Madison Wisconsin. Pp. 595-624.
- Chapman, H.D. (1982). Total Exchangeable Bases. In: C. A. Black (ed.), *Method of Soil Analysis, Part 2*. ASA, 9: 902-904, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Chude, V.O., Malgwi, W.B., Amapu, I.Y., and Ano, A.O. (2011). Manual on Soil Fertility Assessment. Federal Fertilizer Department. FAO and National programme on Food security, Abuja, Nigeria. 62 pp. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Dinssa, B., and Elias, E. (2021). Characterization and classification of soils of Bako Tibe District, West Shewa, Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 7(11), e08279.
- Ditzler, C., Scheffe, K., and Monger, H.C. (2017). Soil Survey Manual: Soil Science Division Staff. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC, USA.
- Enwezor, W.O., Ohirim A.C., Opuwaribo, E.E., Udo, E.J. (1990). Literature review on soil fertility investigations. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Lagos. Pp 281.
- Esu, I.E. (1991). Detailed Soil Survey of NIHORT Farm at Bunkure, Kano State.
- FAOSTAT. (2019). FAOSTAT Food and Agriculture Data. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/>
- Federal Fertilizer Department (2012). Fertilizer Use and Management Practices for Crop Production in Nigeria (4th ed). Chude V.O. et al (eds.), Fed. Min. Agric. Rural Dev., Abuja, Nigeria. ISSN 115-554X.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2014). *World Reference Base for Soil Resources*. 84 World Soil Resources Report, International Society of Soil Science, Rome.
- Gee, G.W. and Or, D. (2002). Particle Size Analysis. In: Dane, J.H. and Topp, G.C. (eds.) *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Part 4, Physical Methods. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 5:255-293.

- Grossman, R.B. and Reinsch, T.G. (2002). Bulk Density and Linear Extensibility. In: *Methods of Soil Analysis*, Part 4: Physical Methods, Dane, J.H. and G.C. Topp (Eds.). Chapter 2.1, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI., USA., ISBN-13:978-0891188414, pp:201-228.
- Hazelton, P., & Murphy, B. (2016). *Interpreting soil test results: What do all the numbers mean?*. CSIRO publishing.
- Ihem, E.E., Nkwopara, U.N., Ndukwu, B.N., and Onwudike, S.U. (2017). Macro-aggregate stability of soils under selected parent materials in Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry*, 4(12), 24-32.
- Jahn, R., Blume, H.P., Asio, V.B., Spaargaren, O., Schad, P., Langohr, R., Brinkman, R., Nachtergaele, F.O., and Krasilnikov, R.P. (2006). *Guidelines for Soil Description*, 4th ed. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Kefas, P.K., Ali, S., Ofem, K.I. and Umeugokwe, C.P. (2020). Genesis and Classification of Soils along a Toposequence in the Teaching and Research Farm of Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 19: 33-43.
- Maga, T.J., Uguru, M.I., and Ogbonna, P.E. (2012). Variability and correlation studies in agromorphological traits of the aboriginal Nsukka yellow pepper (*Capsicum annum L.*) genotypes. *Nig. J. Hort. Sci.*, 17: 88- 102.
- Magaji, M. J., Samndi, A. M., Ado, A. A., Buji, I. B., and Abubakar, M. A. (2022). Soil suitability evaluation for maize in Lere local government area of Kaduna State, Nigeria. *NJSS*, 32(1), 25-29.
- Mclean, E.O. (1982). Soil pH and Lime Requirements. In: Page, A.L. (Ed.). *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbial Properties*, Second Edition Agronomy Series No. 9 Madison, WI, Usa, Asa, Sssa.
- Microsoft Corporation. (2016). Microsoft Excel. Retrieved from <https://office.microsoft.com/excel>
- Nadrowski, K., Wirth, C., and Scherer-Lorenzen, M. (2010). Is forest diversity driving ecosystem function and service? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 2:75-79.
- Naidu, L.G.K., Ramamurthy, V., Challa, O., Hegde, R. and Krishnan, P. (2006). *Manual soil-site suitability criteria for major crops*. National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (NBSS and LUP).
- Ndukwu, B.N., Chukwuma, M.C., Idigbor, C.M., and Obasi, S.N. (2012). Forms and distribution of potassium in soils underlain by three lithologies in southeastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*, 15(2), 1104-1108.
- Nelson, D.W. and Summers, L.E. (1996). Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter. In: Page, A. L. (Ed.), *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, Second Ed. Agronomy Series, No. 9, Madison, WI, USA, ASA, SSSA.
- Nigerian Geological Survey Agency (2006). Geological and Mineral Resources Map of Enugu State, Nigeria: 1:250000 scale.
- Nsor, M.E., Oji, C. H., Nwaoba, O.W and Uzoma, K. C. (2016). Properties, Classification and agricultural potentials of soils on a toposequence around Ohiya Autonomous community, Umuahia, south Eastern Nigeria. *J. of the sci. of Agric., food tech. and the Environ.*, 15:42-50.
- Nwaoba, O.W., Adesemuyi, E.A., and Okonkwo, E.I. (2021). Properties and classification of soils developed under two contrasting parent materials in South East Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*, 24(2), 5894-5904.
- Obalum S.E., Nwite J.C., Watanabe Y., Igwe C.A. and Wakatsuki T. (2012). Comparative topsoil characterization of sawah rice fields in selected inland valleys around Bida, north-central Nigeria: physicochemical properties and fertility status. *Trop. Agric. Dev.*, 56 (2), 39-48.
- Obi, C., Esu, I. E., Onweremadu, E. U., Nwaisi, F., Agim, L., & ChrisEmenyonu, C. (2012). Characterization and Classification of five Soil Pecloris located within the vicinity of Uyo capital territory, Nigeria [Unpublished research article].
- Okoli, N.H., Uzoho, B.U., Ihem, E.E., & Okon, M.A. (2019). Differential speciation and availability of copper in soils derived from different parent materials in Imo State, Southeastern Nigeria. *Agroscience*, 18(1), 7-15.
- Olson, S.R. and Sommers, L.E. (1982). Phosphorus. Pp 403 – 434. In Page *et al.* (1982) (eds). *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Part 2. *Agronomy Monograph 9*, ASA and Soil Science Society of America, Madison WI.
- Onweremadu, E., Aririguzo, B., Okoli, N., and Afangide, I. (2021). Temporal variability of soil reaction among surface and near-surface horizons of soils of dissimilar lithology in a humid tropical environment. *International Journal of Environment*, 10(1), 99-111.
- Onwubuya, E.A., Okporie, E.O., and Nenna, M.G. (2009). Nsukka yellow pepper processing and preservation techniques among women in Enugu State. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4 (9): 859-863.

- Orimoloye, J.R., Gheghere, E.J., Aliku, O. and Nkwocha, H.U. (2018). The effect of parent material and physiography on selected soil chemical properties in Ibadan, southwestern Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference of the Soil Science of Nigeria*. pp 138-150.
- Osinuga O.A., Aiboni V.U. and Oyegoke C.O. (2020). Classification and suitability evaluation of soils along a toposequence for rice production in Alabata, Southwest Nigeria. *Agro-Science*, 19 (4), 43-50.
- Osujieke, D.N., Idoga, S., Abagyeh, S.O.I., & Ugese, F.D. (2022a). Pedological characterization and classification of soils underlain by basement complex at the Mambilla Plateau, Nigeria. *NJSS*, 32(2), 21-32.
- Osujieke, D.N., Idoga, S., Abagyeh, S.O.I., & Ugese, F.D. (2022b). Land Suitability Evaluation for the production of Maize (*Zea mays*) and Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) using parametric and non-parametric methods in Mambilla Plateau, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 32(2), 33-41.
- Oti, N.N., and Mbe, J.O. (2020). Characterization and management of soils of Amangwu-Edda, Ebonyi State for sustainable rice production. *Nigerian Agricultural Journal*, 51(2), 460-470.
- Reynolds, W.D. (1993). Saturated hydraulic conductivity: Field measurement. p. 599–613. In M.R. Carter (ed.) *Soil sampling and methods of analysis*. Canadian Society of Soil Science, Lewis Publ., Boca Raton, FL.
- Rhoades, J.D. (1982). Cation Exchange Capacity. In: Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. (Eds.). *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2: Chemical Methods*. Agronomy Monograph No.9, *American Society of Agronomy*, Madison Wisconsin. Pp. 149-157.
- Sheru, B.M., Jibrin, J.M. and Samndi, A.M. (2015). Fertilizer status of selected soils in the sudan savanna biome of Northern Nigeria. *International Journal of Soil Science*, 10 (2):74-83.
- Soil Survey Staff (2014). *Keys to Soil Taxonomy* 2nd Edition, United States Department of Agriculture/Natural Resources Conservation Service, USA.
- Tabi F.O., Omoko M., Boukong A., MvondoZe A.D., Bitondo D. and Fuh-Che C. (2012). Evaluation of lowland rice (*Oryza sativa*) production system and management recommendations for Logone and Chari floodplain-Republic of Cameroon. *Agric. Sci. Res. J.*, 2 (5), 261-273.
- Talha, I.Z., Noma, S.S., Buji, I.B., Danmowa, N.M., and Adamu, I. (2022). Characterization and Classification of Soil-Landform Features in Nigeria's Sokoto-Rima Floodplains. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 32(2), 1-9.
- Ugadu, M.E., and Asadu, C.L.A. (2019). Physico-chemical Properties of an Alfisol under Fallow and Adjacent Forest Lands and their suitability for Cocoyam, Pigeon pea and Sweet potato Production in Nsukka, Southeastern Nigeria. *NJSS*, 29(1), 102-110.
- Uguru, M.I. (2005). *Crop Genetics and Breeding*, (Revised ed.). Epharata Press, Nsukka p.113.
- Wahyuni, Y., Ballester, A.R., Sudarmonowati, E., Bino, R.J., and Bovy, A.G. (2013). Secondary metabolites of Capsicum species and their importance in the human diet. *J. Nat. Prod.*, 76: 783-793.
- Yitbarek, T., Beyene, S. and Kibret, K. (2016). Characterization and Classification of Soils of Abobo Area, Western Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*, 2016: 1-16
- Young, M.H., Caldwell, T.G., Meadows, D.G., and Fenstermaker, L.F. (2009). Variability of soil physical and hydraulic properties at the Mojave global change facility, Nevada: Implications for water budget and evapotranspiration. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 73:733-744.